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Abstract. This panel explores the value of institutional theory in understanding ‘virtualization’ (in its varieties of meanings) and the impact on work practices, organizations and society.’ In 2001, Orlikowski and Barley made an initial appeal in this direction suggesting that IS research could benefit from institutional theory and that organization theory could also learn from IS research in taking the materiality of technology seriously. Since this earlier call, there have been significant developments in institutional theory from within organizational theory, particularly at the micro-level of analysis. However, apart from some notable exceptions at the macro-level, IS research is yet to explore the value of institutional theory for understanding virtualization of work practices. A particular focus of this panel, therefore, is to explore the potential of micro and macro level developments in institutional theory, and the value of a multilevel approach for the virtualization of work.

1 Introduction

Research on technological change has long recognized technology as an occasion for institutional change through temporal orderings between occupational groups and shifts in work practices [1]. However, as Orlikowski and Barley [2] note, IS research has been slower to examine how institutions influence virtualization—the design,
use, and consequences of IT for time-space distanciation of work practices within or across organizations [3]. They note, along with others more recently, the strengths that institutional theory may offer to IS research by providing a lens to ‘simultaneously understand the role of human agency as embedded in institutional contexts as well as the constraints and affordances of technologies as material systems’ [4, p. 158]. However, to date little research in IS [5,6] has focused on the political/regulative, normative systems and cultural frameworks shaping the design, use and eventual institutionalization of information systems [7].

Institutional theory approaches to virtualization are useful at different levels and across levels of social analysis. The macro-level concept of institutional logics is helpful for examining change and stability in work practices and the challenges that virtuality poses within professions, organizations, and fields. Scott [8, p. 139] defines institutional logics as the “belief systems and related practices that predominate in an organizational field” and notes that systems of logic vary across fields in content, penetration, linkage and exclusiveness. A close examination of field logics can help explain the varying degrees of acceptance of virtual work practices within different organizational fields [3] and the potential conflict between systems of logic when virtual practices diffuse across fields, particularly into fields in which institutional logics have deep penetration and exclusivity. Such analysis is also useful to investigate the interplay of field-level and organization-level change [9] and the possibilities for innovation in virtual work practices within or across social levels.

Recent developments by organizational theorists on institutional theory at the micro-level provide a wealth of potential opportunities for IS research. In particular, institutional entrepreneurship has emerged to deepen our understanding of institutional change [10,11,12]. Institutional entrepreneurs are actors who mobilize resources to create new institutions or transform existing ones. Institutional entrepreneurship has also been recognized as a discursive activity which changes the discourses upon which institutions depend through the production of influential texts as a strategic activity [13]. Through such strategies they seek to increase their legitimacy, resources, authority, and centrality to produce new institutions and in the process de-legitimate existing institutions.

What can we as an IS community learn from these and other developments in institutional theory for understanding the ongoing innovations in the virtualization of work? In exploring the opportunities and challenges of utilizing institutional theory for research on virtualization at the micro and macro levels, our panel will also examine how a multi-level approach and analysis [14] may be adopted.

While panelists share a common view on exploring a multi-level analysis using institutional theory, they will present different positions, arguing either for a bias at the micro or macro level in order to stimulate discussion by contrasting viewpoints. We will seek to represent opposing points of view on the following issues:

- Should macro level concepts of institutional theory be given primacy over micro level aspects in exploring virtualization?
- Do micro level aspects of institutional theory have better explanatory power than macro level concepts in exploring virtualization?
• How would one develop a mixed level approach to institutional theory in exploring virtualization?
• What are the challenges and limitations in using institutional theory for examining virtualization?
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